

UT-04-Picture-Side by Side

Visual	Audio	Back-Up
Cutout of Mia Love wearing a button with "Medicare" crossed out next to a framed photo of a happy senior couple. The photo falls and lands, cracking the glass in the frame.	Mia Love doesn't love Medicare. It's <i>not</i> a pretty picture.	
<p>"The plan would essentially end Medicare." Wall Street Journal, <u>4/4/11</u></p>	<p>Mia Love supported a budget plan that the Wall Street Journal said, "would essentially end Medicare."</p>	<p>Love Supported Ryan Budget</p> <p>On the three-page budget plan that she released during her campaign, Love wrote, "Mia supports Rep. Paul Ryan's budget proposal, which preserves Medicare for current beneficiaries and responsibly reforms Medicare to make it solvent for futures retirees. [<u>Mia Love Budget</u>, accessed 9/24/12]</p> <p><i>See Appendix A</i></p> <p>Plan Would "Essentially End Medicare"</p> <p>The <u>Wall Street Journal</u> wrote of the budget plan, "The plan would essentially end Medicare, which now pays most of the health-care bills for 48 million elderly and disabled Americans, as a program that directly pays those bills. [<u>Wall Street Journal</u>, 4/04/11]</p> <p><i>See Appendix B</i></p>

<p>The photos falls again and cracks more.</p> <p>Replace Medicare with Voucher System</p>	<p>The plan would replace Medicare with a voucher system that would double seniors' medical bills...</p> <p>Raising out-of-pocket expenses by \$6,400 a year.</p>	<p>Seniors Pay \$6400 More, Nearly Double, Per Year for Health Care</p> <p>Using Congressional Budget Office's analysis of the FY 2012 Path to Prosperity Republican Budget, in 2022 seniors would individually pay nearly \$6400 more for health care under the Republican budget then they would otherwise.</p> <p>The Republican Budget stipulates that in 2022, the average Medicare beneficiary would receive a premium support payment of \$8,000, covering 39 percent of their total health care costs, making the individual responsible for paying \$12,512. The total cost of health care would be \$20,512.</p> <p>Under the alternative fiscal scenario, total health care costs in 2022 would be 72 percent of the cost of the Republican Budget, featuring a 42:30 ratio of government to beneficiary spending. The cost of government spending per beneficiary would be \$8,615, while the individual would be responsible for \$6,153. The total cost of health care would be \$14,768.</p>
---	---	--

		<p>When compared to the Republican Budget, the alternative fiscal scenario is \$6,359 less costly for the individual, while the individual cost under the Republican budget (\$12,512) is more than double what it would be under the alternative fiscal scenario. (\$6,153.)</p> <p>The alternative fiscal scenario is used as it incorporates several changes to then-current law that were widely expected to occur or that would modify some provisions of law that might be difficult to sustain for a long period, making it most likely option for comparison against the Republican plan.</p> <p>The difference in total cost is because private plans are more expensive than Medicare. CBO writes:</p> <p>“A private health insurance plan covering the standardized benefit would, CBO estimates, be more expensive currently than traditional Medicare. Both administrative costs (including profits) and payment rates to providers are higher for private plans than for Medicare.</p>
--	--	--

		<p>Moreover, CBO projects that total health care spending for a typical beneficiary covered by the standardized benefit under the proposal would grow faster than such spending for the same beneficiary in traditional Medicare under either of CBO's long-term scenarios. For the period before 2030, the difference in projected growth rates occurs primarily because CBO expects that the payments to providers in Medicare will grow more slowly (especially under the extended-baseline scenario) than those in the private market." <u>[Congressional Budget Office, 4/05/11]</u></p> <p><i>See Appendix C</i></p> <p>Plan Would Turn Medicare into a Voucher</p> <p>Under the Republican plan, for people now under 55, the federal government would contribute a specified amount of money toward the premium for private health insurance, turning Medicare into a voucher program. <u>[New York Times, 4/13/11]</u></p> <p><i>See Appendix D</i></p>
--	--	---

<p>The photo falls again and cracks even more.</p> <p>Mia Love Seniors Pay the Price</p>	<p>Mia Love breaks Medicare, but seniors pay the price.</p>	
	<p>The House Majority PAC is responsible for content of this advertising.</p>	